

#14

Case Nos.: MJ 14-00030 JPD

USDC/DWY: 14-CR-27-F

DECLARATION OF REALTOR STATUS UNDER
18 U.S.C. 1510(c), 1515(a)(4)(A) and 1515(a)(1)(A) & (C)

U.S. DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF WYOMING
2014 MAR 12 PM 1 07

TO: Office of Chief Judge
U.S. District Court
700 Stewart Street
Seattle 98101
Washington State, USA
STEPHEN HARRIS, CLERK
CHEYENNE

FROM: Paul Andrew Mitchell, B.A., M.S., "Pro Per"
FDC Seatac Reg. No. 44202-086, Unit "EA"

SUBJECT: qualifications as "criminal investigator"
and "law enforcement officer" supra

Greetings Your Honor:

Please accept this DECLARATION as the good
faith understanding of my current status,
as expressly defined by the following
Sections in Title 18 of the U.S. Code, to wit:

18 U.S.C. 1510(c):

At least since my authorization by the late
U.S. District Judge John R. Roll to defend
an Arizona trust in re Grand Jury
Subpoena served on New Life Health
Center circa 1996-1997; at least since my
authorization by an Officer of the Day at the
U.S. Coast Guard main gate at San Diego Harbor
to assist Coast Guard investigations with
analysis of the Pentagon events on 9/11/2001

#14

circa 2002 thru 2009; and, at least since commencement of Mitchell v. AOL Time Warner, Inc. et al. at the Federal Courthouse in Sacramento, also in September 2006, I believe it is correct to describe those activities as qualifying my status as a "criminal investigator" meaning "any individual duly authorized by a department, agency, or armed force of the United States to conduct or engage in investigations of or prosecutions for violations of the criminal laws of the United States", as the latter is expressly defined at 18 U.S.C. 1510(c);

18 U.S.C. 1515(a)(4)(A):

At least since the authorizations mentioned *supra*, I believe it is also correct to describe those ongoing activities as qualifying my status as a "law enforcement officer" meaning "a person... serving the Federal Government as an adviser or consultant -- (A) authorized under law to engage in or supervise the prevention, detection, investigation, or prosecution of an offense", as the latter is expressly defined at 18 U.S.C. 1515(a)(4)(A); and,

(continue next page)

#14

18 U.S.C. 1515 (a)(1)(A) & 1515 (a)(1)(C):

At least since the authorizations mentioned supra, I believe it is also correct to describe those ongoing activities as involving "official proceedings" meaning "a proceeding before a Federal Government agency which is authorized by law" and "a proceeding before a judge or court of the United States... or a Federal grand jury", as the latter are expressly defined at 18 U.S.C. 1515 (a)(1)(C) and at 18 U.S.C. 1515 (a)(1)(A), respectively.

Now see 18 U.S.C. 1964(a), 1964(c); and, Rotella v. Wood (for example) re: private attorneys general (in particular).

— VERIFICATION —

I, Paul Andrew Mitchell, B.A., M.S., Sui Juris, Citizen of Washington State, expressly not a "federal citizen", also a qualified Federal Witness and Private Attorney General, hereby verify under penalty of perjury, under the laws of the United States of America, without the United States (Federal Government), that the above statement of facts and laws is true and correct, to the best of my current information, knowledge and belief, so helps me God, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. 17046(C).

Dated: February 22, 2014 A.D.

Signed: Paul Andrew Mitchell, Sui Juris

Printed: Paul Andrew Mitchell (B.A., M.S.)

All Rights Reserved (cf. VCC 1-308)